

From: info@waitomo.govt.nz
Sent: Friday, 17 May 2024 3:54 pm
To: haveyoursay
Subject: The Form 'Submission Form - Draft Long Term Plan 2024-2034' was submitted

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Block sender](#)



Umbraco Forms

Form Results

Full Name

Heather Beddow

Organisation

Phone

[REDACTED]

Address

[REDACTED]

Porirua Wellington

Postcode

5026

Email

I wish to speak to Council about my submission

Yes

Introduce a targeted or differential rate on the District Roding Rate -

Which option do you prefer? :

Option 1

My comments on this proposal

I requested a call back from the relevant Waikato District Council representative regarding this proposal as I wanted to better understand it before I did my submission, but that didn't happen, so my submission is based on what I have seen in the proposal only. I have found the information in the draft proposal very unclear as to the actual impact of implementing Options 2 or 3. There is reference to a differential factor, but no specifics as to what that means, and what the actual \$% increase of the current fees is. I have heard that it is over 1200% increase. How can this even be possible without going through a detailed impact assessment for those affected by such a ridiculous increase. Or without providing specific information regarding what the differential means so that people can make an informed decision in relation to the proposal or submissions. I vehemently oppose option 2 based on my very little understanding of what it means, and both options 2 and 3 need a much wider and in depth consultation process before they should be considered or proposed. The impact on forestry investors will be such that this change could end up costing them more than their initial investment. Pushing this change through without providing more detailed information for which a decision should be based would be highly unethical, and I for one would be raising it as a major issue with the local government representative.

Te Kūiti flooding remedies

Option 2 - Build retention ponds, storm- water modelling and capacity improvement planning long term (preferred)

My comments on Te Kūiti flooding remedies

Funding and future of our Rural Halls - what should we do?

Option 1 - Status quo. Minimal rate funding with no provision for required repairs

Your comments on the funding and future of our Rural Halls

Elder persons housing - how should we fund it?

Option 1 - Status quo - ratepayers subsidise elder persons housing

Elder persons housing - is Council the best provider for elder persons housing?

Option 1 - Status quo - Council continues to own and operate elder persons housing

Your comments on elder persons housing

Update the Te Kūiti Stormwater Rating Area

Option 1 - Status quo - Keep the current rating area

Your comments on updating the Te Kūiti Stormwater Rating Area

Simplifying the rates structure

Option 1 - Status quo - continue with current rates structure

Your comments on simplifying the rates structure

Conversation: Impact of retaining 3 Waters

I agree with keeping the status quo with investing “as and when needed”

Your comments on our approach to how we will deliver our 3 Waters services

What do you think about our plans for projects and activities?

What do you think about our plans for finances and rates?

Do you have any other feedback on our Draft Long Term Plan 2024-2034? (for example our landfill and sludge disposal)

Need more help?

[Find our documentation here](#)