Sub no.
For office use only

## Have your say

## **Submission form**

Waitomo District Council Draft Long Term Plan 2024-2034 Consultation

Send us your feedback by 17 May 2024

You can share your views by:

Completing this submission form and returning it to us by

- Visting our main office at 15 Queen Street, Te Küiti
- Visiting our Customer Service Centre at 160 Rora Street, Te Küiti
- Emailing to haveyoursay@waitomo.govt.nz (scan and pdf or take a photo)
- Posting to FREEPOST 112498 Waitomo District Council PO Box 404, Te Kūiti 3941
- Visiting our website waitomo.govt.nz/council/LTP 2024-2034 and completing the online form

The Local Government Act 2002 requires submissions to be made available to the public. Your name and/or organisation will be published with your submission and made available in a report to elected members and to the public. Other personal information supplied (such as address/email addresses) will be removed from the public copy.





Your details
Full name Mark & Jenny Bradbury
Organisation (if responding on behalf of) White Ridge Contracting
Phone (home/mobile)
Email
Address
Piopio

I wish to speak to Council about my submission

Hearings will be held on 28 May 2024. If you tick Yes, Council will contact you to arrange a time

you would like to discuss

## **Conversation: Impact of retaining 3 Waters**

We have had to consider how we will deliver our 3 Waters services. Council's preferred approaches are keeping the status quo with investing "as and when needed", and installing water meters.

Proposal: Te Kūiti fl We need to decide when should put into improperture to reduce the events.  Option 1: Stati investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	No Waters services approach
Proposal: Te Kūiti fl We need to decide when should put into impronetwork to reduce the events.  Option 1: Stati investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	ooding remedies  at level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
Proposal: Te Kūiti fl We need to decide whe should put into impronetwork to reduce the events.  Option 1: Statinvestment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	ooding remedies  at level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
Proposal: Te Kūiti fl We need to decide whe should put into impronetwork to reduce the events.  Option 1: Statinvestment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	ooding remedies nat level of spending we ving Te Kuiti stormwater
Proposal: Te Kûiti fl We need to decide when should put into improper network to reduce the events.  Option 1: Station investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	ooding remedies nat level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
We need to decide when should put into improper network to reduce the events.  Option 1: State investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	nat level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
We need to decide when should put into improper network to reduce the events.  Option 1: State investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	nat level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
We need to decide when should put into improper network to reduce the events.  Option 1: State investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	nat level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
should put into impronetwork to reduce the events.  Option 1: State investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	nat level of spending we ving Te Kūiti stormwater
should put into impronetwork to reduce the events.  Option 1: State investment, sr Option 2: Built water modelling	ving Te Kūiti stormwater
Option 2: Buil water modelli	
water modelli	cus quo - low level nall scale improvements
ment planning	d retention ponds, storm- ng and capacity improve- g long term (preferred)
My comment on this p	proposal

## Submission No. 064 Proposal: Introduce a targeted or differential rate on the District Roading Rate

Our limited roading budget does not provide for the extra roading damage caused by logging trucks during harvesting operations. A targeted or differential rate will help mitigate this.

Option 1: Status quo - no change to rating structure for forestry
Option 2: Differentiated District Roading Rate (preferred)
Option 3: Funding the additional operating rate deficit
My comment on this proposal
We have attached a
letter regarding this
proposal as our Submission.
Manufacture of the control of the co
`

767 Aria Road, RD 2, Pio Pio | Ph/Fax: 07 877 7788 | Mobile: 021 929 665 | Email: whiteridge@xtra.co.nz

15<sup>th</sup> May 2024

To : The Mayor and Councillors Waitomo District Council

We are writing to strongly oppose the introduction of targeted rates towards landowners harvesting trees.

As background, White Ridge Contracting has been in business in the Waitomo District (Aria) for 22 years and we currently employ 26 staff. We started with predominantly farming clients but as regulations changed, ie regarding dairy conversions and environmental factors, we continued with farming clients but also moved into doing forestry roading for small woodlots in this area. This then turned into us putting our own Forestry Crew together to harvest woodlots. We also have three logging trucks, metal trucks and a quarry. As with any business, Covid 19 hit us hard, the logging downturn also hit hard, six years of Labour/Greens have hit us hard – the economic consequences are still being felt.

Since September last year we have been employed by FMNZ to work in the Greenplan Estate as a Roadline Crew and also to build roads. This has been a Godsend in that we have been able to keep our logging and earthmoving staff on steady hours and income since then. This is work that will provide security for many over the next ten years and if replanted for harvest will continue that cycle.

To put in targeted rates to forestry landowners you are looking at the probable result that forests will either not be re-planted or will be locked up for carbon and not harvested. You just need to look at the social consequences of this in the Wairarapa and East Coast with towns, schools etc having to close because people move away. Our 26 guys with their families have a positive outlook on life – they have money to spend money in this area, have houses and pay rates in this area, go to social events, play in sports teams, have kids that keep our schools going. Obviously we are only one business who will be affected by this – the roll on is huge with all businesses in town, the mills, other forestry and earthmoving companies being affected. Without the forestry to support our business there is a high chance the business would not remain viable and would have to close.

A few years ago we were involved with Professional Harvesting Systems to do the earthworks for harvesting a block of trees on Takiri Road in Mokauiti for Tony Alcock. The Council was extremely helpful and let us close this road for three months while the harvest

767 Aria Road, RD 2, Pio Pio | Ph/Fax: 07 877 7788 | Mobile: 021 929 665 | Email: whiteridge@xtra.co.nz

was being done. As part of the agreement the road was maintained and left in the same condition (actually better) as it was when the harvesting started. This harvest system was extremely successful for all parties. We also did a similar style of harvest on Kohua Road where the road was closed for harvest (except for residents) and the road was left up to standard when harvesting finished. The road obviously doesn't need to be fully closed for this scenario to work effectively.

We understand the Council's predicament with trying to find money for ensuring services in the Waitomo area are met. This includes rates rises that we are all having to bear if we own a house or land. The temptation to lift rates for forestry blocks is high due to the roading argument but is this really going to be a positive resolution?

Our suggestion is that instead of targeted rates which will surely have a detrimental effect to many, look at the system the Council used at the Alcock block and allow the forestry companies to maintain the roads around their blocks while harvest is being done, leaving it in an acceptable state after harvest. The forestry companies can use their own contractors at their own cost which will also allow the Council contractors to keep working on other roads in the area which they are struggling to keep up with anyway.

We hope that this Council doesn't just become a group of people making decisions from Offices in Queen Street to make the numbers fit. We hope that the Council looks at this situation in another way to guarantee continuity of work and success for the people of our area rather than driving them somewhere else for work or becoming a statistic of unemployment.

Regards.

Mark and Jenny Bradbury White Ridge Contracting